Self Defense and Immunity

Justified!!



13A-2-23

A person themself or a third person

Justified if:

- 1) Reasonable belief
- Other person use or imminently use unlawful physical force
- 3) The responsive force musty be a degree of force that is reasonably necessary



Deadly Force?

- 1) Aggressor use or about deadly force
- 2) Aggressor use or about to use physical force against an occupant during a burglary of a dwelling
- Aggressor committing or about to commit kidnapping, assault 1 or 2, burglary in any degree, robbery in any degree, forcible rape or sodomy
- 4) Physical force against an employee or owner when business is closed and doing a crime involving death, serious physical injury, robbery, kidnapping, rape, sodomy, or a crime of a sexual nature child under 12

Deadly Force?

5) During a burglary (of any kind), a person is not legally justified to use deadly physical force if:

- a. The aggressor has the right to be there (unless PFA)
- b. Aggressor has lawful custody of a child that is being removed
- c. Defender is engaging in unlawful activity or using dwelling to further unlawful activity'
- d. Aggressor is law enforcement in official duties

Stand Your Ground!!!

Justified if:

- 1) Subsection A
- 2) Not engaged in unlawful activity
- 3) And has a right to be, no duty to retreat and has the right to stand your ground

Other restrictions

- 1) You cannot provoke the aggressor
- 2) Must not be the initial aggressor, unless you withdrawal and communicates said withdrawal and the other person continues force
- 3) No combat by agreement

Immunity

You are immune from prosecution if:

- 1) A person uses force including deadly force
- 2) Justified under this code section
- 3) The force is lawful

Procedure

When? Prior to the trial beginning
How? Upon motion by the defendant
What? A pretrial hearing
Issue? Was the force justified or unlawful
Burden? Def - preponderance of the evidence

Ruling?

 If the force is justified, the Court shall enter an order finding the Defendant immune and dismiss

•If the burden is not met, the Defendant may pursue self defense at trial and then it is the States burden beyond a reasonable doubt

Discussion

Self Defense

1) Typically client testifies

2) Only slight evidence

3) Make sure State can prove the crime itself

Issues for Immunity

 Don't ask for the pretrial immunity hearing 23 minutes before the trial begins

Martin v. State, 230 So. 3d 406, 2017 Ala. Crim. App. LEXIS 6 (Ala. Crim. App. 2017).

 appellate remedy is to file a mandamus after the denial of immunity — don't or go to trial if you want to petition for relief

Gordon v. State, 322 So. 3d 549, 2020 Ala. Crim. App. LEXIS 71 (Ala. Crim. App. 2020).

Todd v. State, 2021 Ala. Crim. App. LEXIS 5 (Ala. Crim. App. Feb. 5, 2021).

"A felon who is barred from possessing a [*1217] gun should be able to act in self-defense, but he or she should also have to retreat if possible"

<u>Kidd v. State, 105 So. 3d 1261 (Ala. Crim. App. 2012)</u>

Fuller v. State, 231 So. 3d 1207, 2015 Ala. Crim. App. LEXIS 112 (Ala. Crim. App. 2015), cert. quashed, 231 So. 3d 1222, 2017 Ala. LEXIS 19 (Ala. 2017).

Discussion

Pros:

1) Immunity

2) Free preview

3) Educating State

Cons

1) Defendant's burden

2) Client probably testifies

3) There is a transcript

4) No surprise